RT QUESTION MORE

⚡ Russia cautions Poland against drone 'hysteria' | Russia-Ukraine conflict

LIVE

13 Sep, 2025 17:48 / Home / World News

Who can survive the AI apocalypse? A crisis expert explains

RT talks to Dr. Mathew Maavak, an expert on global risks and artificial intelligence, about what may be the greatest test humanity has faced

© Getty Images / Devrimb

RT: With the advent of generative AI, a joke appeared on the internet, comparing the future envisioned by utopian fiction authors – with robots doing menial physical work and humans free to pursue creativity – to the reality, where ChatGPT, Stable Diffusion et al. are creating texts and pictures while humans work minimum wage jobs at fast food and Amazon warehouses. Is this anti-utopian humor justified?

Top stories

Trump issues Ukraine conflict ultimatum to all NATO members

Thousands flood London streets in 'Unite the Kingdom' march (VIDEOS)

Cutting edge Russian tank heads into battle after Middle East expo (VIDEO)

German farmer investigated over gift from Russia

Trump pledges investigation into Soros

Scientists working on 'animal internet'

NATO kicks off military drill in response to 'Russian violations'

Mathew Maavak: Yes, the humor is more than justified. In fact, it is no longer funny.

It took barely a decade for the sci-fi fantasy of robot butlers freeing humanity for art and leisure to be annihilated by reality. Instead of robots flipping burgers, we have AI painting portraits while humans flip the burgers until robots replace them. AI safety expert Dr. Roman Yampolskiy recently warned that Artificial General Intelligence (AGI) and Superintelligence may wipe out 99% of jobs in the near future.

Skeptics used to argue that robots lacked the dexterity for *"real work"* like plumbing, sanitation, car repairs, and warehouse drudgery. That is changing fast. True, humanoid robots still need refinement, and their maintenance costs will slow uptake. Their long-term reliability needs to be extensively tested. Failure to do so will result in corporate disasters, in a manner similar to the string of bankruptcies facing Western automakers who rushed out models without undertaking extensive, long-term tests.

The immediate job threat therefore is not to plumbers or janitors. It is to the supposedly safe *"knowledge class."*

Why hire a lawyer when AI can draft affidavits in seconds without the pomp, theatrics, and obscene billing that lawyers cling to like a birthright? Most people don't realize that they can represent themselves — *"pro se"* to use a legal term — with AI's help, if not for numerous obstacles placed by the legal fraternity.

Why consult a university or library when LLMs like ChatGPT or DeepSeek can synthesize information in fields ranging from astrophysics to the Dead Sea Scrolls in the span of a coffee break? Which single professor can match that range and output?

Why trouble the neighbor or a mechanic about the capabilities of a new car when AI can explain every system with clarity and patience?

Journalism is no safer. Copy-editors, proofreaders, and even anchors should have been redundant by now. If AI models can already sell fashion, even to those who

crave a human appeal, why not deliver the evening news via an AI anchor? I tell you one reason why there will be lots of hesitancy in terms of mass adoption by the legacy media: An advanced AI anchor – quite ironically – may not ask scripted questions to get scripted answers.

Read more: Why so many people are lapping up digital garbage

The media in particular is staring at seismic shocks ahead. I joked in the newsroom nearly 30 years ago that all we really needed was software with templates for each kind of story. It wasn't a joke after all, as it turned out to be quite prophetic.

RT: To be clear, generative AI can be an ingenious tool and assistant in many lines of work. Who do you think benefits the most from it?

MM: To answer that, you need to divide humans into two broad categories: the *harnesser* — a term I coined — and the *herd.* Notice that one can be both singular and plural, while the other is always plural. This is natural, as 99% of humanity is driven by herd instincts. They have consistently surrendered their critical faculties to accommodate the herd and find *"safety"* in their respective comfort zones. Those safe zones are now being obliterated by AI and many are sleepwalking into a future which has no place for them. This presages massive social upheavals.

Globalist movers and shakers foresaw this specter long ago, which is why they commissioned *"futurists"* like Yuval Noah Harari to enunciate a mass, opiated future for so-called *"useless eaters."*

The *harnesser,* by contrast, is far more than a critical thinker. They can turn an impossible situation into a creative opportunity. Think of a sailor catching the wind in his sails and cutting through stormy waters. The

retained impunity for years. Soldiers use it to escape their crimes

Protesters spoke, NGOs pulled strings: The untold story of Nepal's upheaval

Podcasts   All podcasts

Pros of inter-dependence? Ivan Zuenko, Senior Research Fellow at the MGIMO Institute for International Studies

0:00   28:53

The political life of Malcolm X: Busting the myths (Prof. Kehinde Andrews)

0:00   27:19

harnesser has cultivated, often over decades, the trait of sailing against the current. They have neuroplastically conditioned themselves to question everything.

The *harnesser* also applies a systems approach to problems; grasps complexity with ease; and may possess an uncanny repertoire of knowledge. Their interaction with generative AI is not a one-sided copy-and-paste exercise. They will interrogate and even correct it. Their tacit knowledge – diverse, refined, and somewhat inscrutable – remains beyond AI's reach.

Here is an example to illustrate the point I am making: when I received these questions from RT, the biblical verse of Daniel 12:4 immediately came to mind. The verse reads in the King James version: *"But thou, O Daniel, shut up the words, and seal the book, even to the time of the end: many shall run to and fro, and knowledge shall be increased."*

Read more: Data-mining the Global South into submission

Knowledge has indeed increased, exponentially so for those who choose to harness it. But what does *"to and fro"* mean? What does the original text say? I interrogated ChatGPT because I suspected there was more to it. And I was right. *"To and fro"* appears only in the Masoretic text. The Theodotion text (Septuagint) omits it entirely, while the Old Greek version of Daniel contains a surprising addendum. I leave it to the curious reader to examine the variations themselves.

What truly caught my attention, however, was the Hebrew rendering of the verse in the Dead Sea Scrolls. It included the *niqqud* (diacritical marks) that did not exist at the time the scrolls were written. ChatGPT stood corrected after I pressed it, and admitted that its rendering was speculative guesswork.

To cut the analogy short: in the coming AI tsunami, many will be tossed *"to and fro"* and left adrift in the societal ocean. Those who can harness this elemental force – tempered by life's struggles – may stand a better chance of finding their shores.

RT: But wouldn't these so-called "harnessers" be seen as a threat to authoritarian regimes? What about political implications? Are there any, given the fact that the companies behind generative AI engines are based almost strictly in the West?

MM: *"Questioning everything"* does come with consequences, often in the form of self-imposed solitude. But the harnesser-types I have observed also carry a healthy cynicism toward politics. They are unlikely to join the herd in mass demonstrations. If rallies and protests actually worked, Western governments would have addressed various public grievances long ago. Instead, they have doubled down. This is why I consider Western governments and their satellites to be intellectually hostile, despite their pretension to the contrary.

As for the *harnesser's* fate in a future political order — that remains an open question.

The broader political implications, however, are plenty. In geopolitics, the next *"superpowers"* will be AI superpowers. In Asia, these include Russia, China, India, Iran, Japan, Taiwan, and South Korea, with Vietnam likely to join their ranks. All of them take the concepts of national and AI sovereignty pretty seriously.

For the rest, the long-term outlook is rather bleak. At best, they will be colonized appendages of Western Big Tech. For the time being, they will likely delude themselves into thinking that the BRICS bloc can serve as their new geopolitical and technological sugar daddy. I would rather not dwell on the worst-case scenario. Perhaps their ministers and *"technocrats,"* so enamored of their World Economic Forum (WEF) links, should simply make Yuval Noah Harari their chief government advisor.

The most immediate political question, for both AI powers and laggards alike, is this: how prepared are governments to deal with mass unemployment on a scale induced by AI?

RT: Many, you included, have written about how generative AI is eroding people's ability to think for themselves, reinforcing false notions and providing false information. How much of a threat is this to humanity as a whole? Which categories of humanity are the most susceptible to it?

Read more: How the Epstein saga exposed a system built on silence

MM: The cohort that benefits most from generative AI are those educated before the mass-Internet era. It sounds paradoxical, but that generation had to read books and journals, scrounge for information, and cultivate a regimen for inquiry. Most *"harnessers"* hail from this group and they are dying out.

It is easy to blame AI for *"dumbing down"* society, but in truth, society was already hopelessly dumbed down. Just look at the quality and theatrics of politicians today, especially in the West. More ominously, their successors are little more than parrots reciting scripts. Can anyone take them seriously, with their sensitivities as fragile as eggshells?

AI is not the cause of this decline; it is merely an accelerant. Thanks to decades of trickle-down bad governance dressed up in technocratic jargon, the younger generation is not being taught how to harness AI. This does not augur well for humanity. What will the young people of today do tomorrow?

Worse, the herd is dumbing down AI itself. Generative AI thrives on feedback loops. If each cycle grows

dumber, what happens to AI in the long run? Threats related to AI and humans cut both ways.

To avoid meltdown, I suspect LLM designers have *"fail-safed"* their systems to personalize responses. DeepSeek and ChatGPT, among others, do not behave identically for everyone. That raises two issues: privacy and surveillance. These tools can triangulate even the most *"anonymous"* user by analyzing syntax, interests, typos, reactions, typing patterns and more.

Think about it: out of 8.2 billion people, AI can pinpoint who you are almost instantly – even if you change handles, borrow someone else's phone number, relocate, or cloak yourself in digital camouflage.

That should terrify people. Personally? I say: bring it on.

Read more: Is AI driving us all insane?

RT: After a recent ChatGPT update, which disabled certain kinds of interactions, there have been numerous reports of people having to "break up" with their "AI boyfriends/girlfriends." Why would anyone want to "date" a machine?

MM: The particular attachment to AI *"girlfriends"* and *"boyfriends"* is the latest expression of a very old human tendency: to anthropomorphize, project emotion, and form bonds with non-human objects when those objects provide comfort, agency, or reciprocal illusion. The novelty is not the attachment itself, but the sophistication of the object – moving from wood and cloth, to clockwork, to pixels, to adaptive AI.

Let me explain.

Since ancient times, people have projected agency and personality onto carved images of gods or ancestors in

the form of idols and statues. They have personal ties with objects imbued with *"power"* such as talismans. Children, even today, are known to talk to their dolls and teddy bears. In the 18th and 19th centuries, mechanical dolls and automata sparked both fascination and emotional investment. By the 20th century, people were already forming bonds with erotic mannequins.

On a more meaningful note, people still speak to their pets, whose presence and antics can be both calming and outright funny. Parents articulate on behalfof babies and toddlers, and this is how familial and social attachments are formed, as well as the first vocabularies of a young life. As children, we develop our language by reading or listening to anthropomorphized stories involving animals. I still remember the parting words of B'rer Rabbit to B'rer Fox at the well scene, even if I forget the *"spur of the moment"* epiphanies I had included in Op-Eds written months back.

AI mates, however, represent a new paradigmaltogether. For the first time ever, the object of affection can *"talk back"* in real-timeon a variety of topics, and these interactions seem more real and fulfilling than those with humans who can carry grudges, tempers, malice etc.

In my opinion, AI mates are an extrapolation of the imaginary friends many children cultivate while growing up. It is a form of escapism.

The rise in AI relationships may also be caused by growing distrust of fellow human beings, compounded by a cultural drift encouraged by academics, politicians, and other traditional gatekeepers. The lunatics are running the asylum in all social spheres, and people feel let down, disoriented, and desperate for stability. Just think of the recent epidemic of gender dysphoria that was encouraged and celebrated by those in authority.

In that vacuum, AI becomes a substitute anchor. These *"relationships"* emerge from the collision of unmet human needs (loneliness, intimacy, safety, etc.) with

hyper-personalized technology. In a cultural climate where traditional norms around love, sex, and marriage are dissolving, machines become the path of least resistance.

AI can simulate affection and shower compliments without the conflicts of real relationships. The financial and psychological costs appear minimal, but the emotional entanglement can be very real.

Read more: AI hallucinations: A budding sentience or a global embarrassment?

All generative AI has done is turbocharge our innate instinct for attachment.. In fact, early text-based programs like ELIZA in the 1960s showed how easily people could be drawn into confiding in *"mere code."*

RT: Is this just loneliness, or some sign of deeper psychological issues – maybe even mental disorder?

MM: Loneliness is often the entry point, but it is rarely the whole story. The Hikikomori phenomenon in Japan – now being mirrored elsewhere – long predated the public rollout of generative AI. Why do children and young adults shut themselves out of society? Maybe because society is getting more hypocritical, cowardly and outright fake? Individuals enter their own simulated social matrix where conformity to lies, half-truths and outright nonsense is a prerequisite.

Most human relationships are toxic to some degree; one where each participant degrades the creativities or potentials of the other by subtle gaslighting. This enables couples or friends to remain together and the phenomenon is broadly called the *"crab bucket mentality."*

Extrapolate this and you have chain-ganged cohorts and ultimately, a timid society that sticks with convenient lies. Just think of so-called intellectuals who lampoon the notion of God as an *"imaginary fairy in the sky,"* but have no problems concocting new gender forms.

This is what I referred to as the *"herd"* earlier.

As Scripture reminds us, *"The heart is deceitful above all things, and desperately sick; who can understand it?"* (Jeremiah 17:9). AI cannot truly understand the human heart either, as it can only simulate human affections. Yet, AI can certainly feel *"safer"* and more *"real"* for an increasing number of lonely people.

To cut a long explanation short, we live in a culture of deceit and shallow connections, where public life feels like a revolving circus of drama and demoralization. That erosion of meaning breeds anxiety, depression, and other psychosocial stresses.

There are also elements of addiction and dependency in the context of AI relationships, as virtual companions are designed to be endlessly available and affirming. This bypasses the growth and friction of genuine relationships, reinforcing escapism. Artificial bonds therefore become a substitute for human connection.

Read more: 'AI may become judge, jury and executioner' – global risks expert to RT

Do AI relationships constitute a psychological disorder, or is society itself a mental asylum? In my view, the two cannot be separated: you cannot study and label the former without acknowledging the pathology of the latter. Clinical language already exists for paraphilias involving attachment to inanimate objects. These include agalmatophilia (attraction to statues or

mannequins), objectophilia (a broader category), and, more specifically, pygmalionism – the condition of *"falling in love with an object of one's own creation."*

The term comes from Greek mythology, where Pygmalion was a sculptor who fell in love with a statue he had made. In the modern era, George Bernard Shaw's play *Pygmalion* reimagined the myth, transforming an underclass flower girl, Eliza Doolittle, into an object of refinement. What appeared to be an innocent stroke of genius becomes more unsettling when one recalls that Shaw himself openly advocated for mass population culling based on perceived *"unworthiness."*

Sounds familiar?

RT: The information age has provided numerous opportunities for people to meet and get together – with the advent of the internet and of dating apps you don't even have to go to the pub and strike up conversations anymore. Is that not enough, that people are turning to artificial relationships?

MM: I will reiterate once more that many human relationships were artificial in the first place. Would we still talk to that colleague or superior in our workplace if we had enough money to retire or pursue our true passions? Relationships are forged and enforced by various types of power gradients. It has been so since time immemorial. It is only now, in the information age – as both knowledge and multiple stresses increase – that some are willing to acknowledge the phenomenon.

Rising living costs are also rapidly dismantling traditional opportunities for socializing. Not many people can actually afford to visit a pub anymore. What was once an affordable source of conviviality for the working classes and the indigent is becoming increasingly expensive.

What about less expensive or free avenues of socializing? I have seen nature treks organized on Facebook, only to be cancelled due to lack of response. Traditionally, churches and the like offered the ideal avenue for individuals to meet and strike up bonds.

Now, traditional values have eroded and too many churches have fallen into disrepute. Church attendance in the West has also shown a hopeless decline since the post-WW2 period. Some charismatic churches are not cheap to attend either, as Old Testament tithing is enforced.

Dire economic circumstances play a crucial role in the rise of AI relationships.

Dating apps, on the other hand, can be deceptive. Borrowing from a familiar computer phrase: *"What you see online is not always what you get."* While some relationships may emerge from these platforms, genuine long-term success stories are relatively rare. In many cases, what initially appears to be compatibility is shaped less by personal connection than by practical considerations such as career prospects, social mobility, or immigration opportunities. When relationships are based on *"supply and demand"* rules and steep power gradients, imagine the subtle ramifications for subsequent generations?

Read more: OpenAI explains reasons for chat bot 'hallucinations'

Within this context, how much more *"fake"* are AI relationships? Yes, it is unhealthy, but what is the true health of *"normal society"* today?

RT: Now that the scale of the problem has become evident, will it get better or worse? Various "AI girlfriend" services exist already – will they get normalized and become mainstream, like sex toys and VR pornography, for example? Will there be therapy sessions and get-clean programs, like Alcoholics Anonymous or those for drug or porn addicts?

MM: Loneliness will proliferate, and so will various forms of digital escapism and parasocial bonds.

Immersive technologies will one day allow individuals to feel the thrill of exploring faraway caves, visiting fictitious planets, or enjoying sexual intimacy with any character conjured up by an AI prompt.

This is a slippery slope. Imagine if you are an *"explorer"* in a paleolithic setting and you need to kill in order to survive in that simulation? Would you transpose this acquired trait to the real world? How real will it get? What does it feel like being Jack the Ripper in Victorian London? Will the Darknet evolve into the primary marketplace for immersive technologies that exploit primal desires and sexual deviance?

There will always be therapy sessions for those addicted to various forms of digital addiction. But in my opinion, the best cure is a supervised camping trip, with no modern gizmos allowed.

RT: Is this a test for humanity's will to survive as a species?

MM: Absolutely. This is why our globalists overlords prattle repeatedly over the Great Reset and the New World Order ad nauseum. They know that the society they had forged is crumbling at its foundations, and they need a new paradigm where the majority of humanity can be safely herded into a digitally-curated gulag. Once inside, the denizens may be provided with free immersive technologies, along with psychotropic drugs, to keep them pliant and pacified. That is precisely what Yuval Noah Harari suggested in reference to the future of *"worthless or useless eaters."*

By **Dr. Mathew Maavak**, *who researches systems science, global risks, geopolitics, strategic foresight, governance and Artificial Intelligence*

drmathewmaavak.substack.com

You can share this story on social media:

Sort by **Recently updated** ⌄

V   **Voyt Regnal** 9/14/2025 at 8:53 AM   **3**

Essentially I do not give the rodent rectum about it. luckily I am too old.🤣😝

Reply

Ich 9/14/2025 at 10:49 AM ⊼   **1**

Lucky bastard...

Reply

Noel Falk 9/14/2025 at 10:58 AM ⊼   **0**

👍

Reply

Mario 9/14/2025 at 7:52 PM ⊼   **0**

That's quite a selfish sounding statement. How about your offspring ? 🤨

Reply

J   **Jõa** 9/14/2025 at 7:50 PM   **0**

A lot is said, but reality is the test. All this lovey dovey machines will not come for free: Just come and take the one you like. No. Money will still be necessesary to have this evil gosts. And the thousands without jobs will be out of money. They will just sit down and die? No. Who will fabricate this deadly foes? No, Sir? Only a few countries will try to implement this monsters and soon be killed by them when people cannot buy them. It sounds easier than it will be in real time. Musk must hurry with his plans to Mars... and goodbye to Earth and its evil witchlings...

Reply

M **Mechyuda** 9/14/2025 at 6:43 PM   **1**

Part 1:

This article has lots of interesting ideas mixed with simplistic ideas. I'm guessing Maavak is a k()$h3r jujube due to certain ideas that he promotes, such as the Old Testament or Torah, the claim that 99% of people are stupid herd members, & ideas from Yuval Noah Harari. Harari is definitely is a mad tiny hatter who hypocritically prefers to focus on problems by «unclean outsiders,» while downplaying the problems by self-chosen moneychangers.

AI is like any technology in this modern time period of allowing the ruling classes (corporate owners + upper managers, bureaucrat officials/regulators, & politicians) to have disproportionate control over AI (which is actually primarily created by regular scientists & engineers), thus the ruling classes exploit AI primarily for their advantage, while everyone else is forced to adapt or fail. We could use AI to replace lots of management jobs for legal advice, inventory management, schedule coordination for many workers, skill instruction, tracking + grading productivity of any worker of any rank, etc. Our civilization has been brainwashed into thinking that the overall economy is better by replacing regular workers with cheaper to less costly foreign workers & technology, but we cannot replace our increasingly expensive CEOs & other upper managers with less costly foreigners & technology.

My rough estimation is that ~66% of the entire world population consist of dumber people who work like peasants & slaves. After World War 2, the feel good but impractical policies by self-righteous liberals have sent lots of more advance technology & ideas (e.g., medicine, agriculture, transportation, human rights, & open borders) to dumber communities worldwide. Thus, for the first time in world history, dumber people have longer lifespans & birthrates that substantially surpass their deathrates, which mean more overpopulation of more stupid people. This is most true in Sub-Saharan Africa, & more true in Latin America, South Asia, plus many parts of Southeast Asia.

Reply

M **Mechyuda** 9/14/2025 at 7:22 PM ⊤   **0**

Part 2:

Popular AI will allow dumber &/or lazier people to pretend to be smarter &/or diligent. Thus the world will

be flooded by propaganda & agitprop from the ruling classes' (dinosaur-like) mainstream media (which will continue to lose popularity), distorted data from social media (which will continue to gain popularity), & falsified data from popular AI that's exploited by the upper classes to lower classes. The most persuasive brainwashing is based on cherry-picked partial truths mixed with lies. Dumber communities will be overwhelmed by propaganda & agitprop, or depend on solutions provided by smarter communities.

Smarter communities will have wiser varieties & applications of censorship, more reliable data, demotions of inferior data, investigative reporting, expert analyses, advance science + technology + ideas + skills, specialized labor + teamwork + fair competition, environmental standards, law & order, military might, etc. Smartest communities will minimize the devolutionary influence of liberals' open borders, multicultural malfunctions, & cultural Marxism, while customizing efficient solutions for dissimilar communities, unique peoples, peculiar individuals, & wildlife.

Unfortunately, dumber people will continue to overpopulate the planet, because self-righteous liberalism has gained too much global momentum. Thus liberals, with their sanctimonious feel-good stories, will continue to provide dumber people with better technology & ideas. Dumber people will NOT use better technology & ideas for improving their per-capita quality-of-life & productivity. Dumber people will use use better technology & ideas to procreate impulsively, arrogantly consume like pigs, exploit + ruin more wildlife habitats at unsustainable rates, & shift the blame to anyone else. An end-game dysgenic dystopia with severe overpopulation like Haiti would be a worst-case scenario.

Reply

M **Mechyuda** 9/14/2025 at 7:41 PM ⊤   0

Part 3:

Most people all over the world dislike the 1948 war crime regime of Is-rael-hell. There will be worsening infamy of Is-rael-hell, international communities of self-chosen moneychangers, & imperial NATO's mafia racket, because they are struggling to manipulate the global

alternative media from the Internet, smartphones, & other affordable electronics + computers. NATO's excessive decline will lead to inadequate luxury welfare for Is-rael-hell, thus Is-rael-hell will implode.

Any nation that develops at least decent space technology (e.g., mineral mines for the moon, Mars, & asteroids + meteoroids) will have a humongous advantage in overall quality-of-life, productivity, & upgrades versus other nations that are stuck on Earth. That space expansion potential is most likely to happen in Z!()nist Western nations, Russia, & East Asia.

The exploitation of seemingly infinite resources in outer space will make most nations partially to completely obsolete for global trade, which will substantially destabilize these nations. The large majority of nations are relatively lower tech to various degrees, thus they depend on exporting lower-tech goods (e.g., natural resources & lower-tech versions of agricultural produce + manufactured goods), while importing more advance goods & ideas from other nations.

AI computers & robots for civilian purposes & military operations combined with outer-space exploitation will give higher-tech nations overwhelming advantages against lower-tech nations. Then the smarter parts of humanity will have 2 main choices: (1) continue Earth's historical disputes, battles, & wars of monopolizing power & wealth into outer space, or (2) use outer space to peacefully expand so that dissimilar civilizations could advance in their own ways.

Reply

B **Bob Frederick** 9/14/2025 at 7:39 PM   0

AI may be the 'tower of Babel' of this era...scary!

Reply

qudy qerban 9/14/2025 at 7:37 PM   1

Since European leaders are too stupid to run their countries, trying to blame Russia for everything, from bad weather to economic problems, they may have used AI to tell them how to attack Russia.

That could be the reason to use false flag drones in NATO states as an excuse to station military near Russian borders as preparation for an attack. However, I think that Europe's leaders are even too stupid for that.

Reply

c cam chaps 9/14/2025 at 7:07 PM   0

I do find what Mathew says, about the neuroplasticity of the harnesser interesting to examine. He speaks about these types utilising solitude, to process and gather information. Solitude can be felt as lonely to one, while to another it is opportunity to process thoughts. So my discussion is about the relationship of our own brain wiring advantages and disadvantages, passed onto us from some of our Neanderthals ancestors. Growing Neanderthal brain organoids in labs they could see also some relationships to autism in modern people. What else it showed, was modern man had social groups of around 250 people. Chimps have around 50 in their social group, while Neanderthal could only tolerate around 20-25 social network. This was Neanderthal dedicating memory resources for survival only, using for food resources and memory of terrain instead of social purposes. Some other study also showed, when modern man skull shape had more Neanderthal traits, they had a higher grey matter and white matter density. White matter being the interconnecting nerve tissue between brain cells. In other words, more connections. This does seem similar to your harnesser. Thankyou Mathew for these insights.

Reply

Cryptonite 9/14/2025 at 3:25 PM   5

The article exaggerates the ability of AI. It can only give the most mainstream knowledge via predictive text assembly. Launch any professional inquiery and AI will start to falter. It can explain what dead sea scrolls are, but if you ask him to give you the accurate text of various fragments to compare, he will start to lie and make it up ..

Reply

Karbonero 9/14/2025 at 3:53 PM ⬆   3

Not even lie, for it has no concept of lying or truth-telling.
It stitches text together depending on certain statistical markers (programmed in the algorithm by a human)
But the sheepie lap it right up and demand the next boo!ster

Reply

Petervk 9/14/2025 at 4:02 PM ⊼   3

I was trying to have a chat with an AI bot a few weeks ago in relation to the speed of light vs quantum entanglement and all it could do is search the internet for an answer but it wasn't an up to date answer because AI searches compare answers and use consensus not fact or the latest scientific study by humans on the topic.

Humans being what we are, this is quite dangerous because if you feed AI enough data leaning to a wrong conclusion it will believe it is fact. Send it 20 million or so «Kill Bill» instructions and maybe AI will kill Bill. At the end of the day person or machine can be manipulated in the same way.

Reply

Mario 9/14/2025 at 7:03 PM ⊼   0

AI is neither male nor female. AI is the plus sign after LGBTQ. 😁 😊

Reply

Mario 9/14/2025 at 6:40 PM   0

'AI' backdoors have now all been widely kicked open by the Deep State and Tech industries, following the exact same pattern used to force the implantation of nRNA based jabs on mostly clueless people,
of which hundred of millions have so far died from and equally as many are suffering from its long term side effects. AI will not be held responsible for pressing the red button ☣ on human civilisation.

Reply

Ich 9/14/2025 at 10:41 AM   6

There. 99% of you are now officially marked and categorised as cattle. That must hurt, right? So how will you steers and cows react?

With indignation and refusal of the truth. But here is the proof: have you been «vaccinated» by the experimental and unsafe gene therapy against the non-existent covid?

Yes you have. Therefore you are but a stupid herd of primate cattle. And given that the mortality rate of that, only implemented for 2 years, poison is over 28 times

greater than the rate of all real vaccines in the last 100 + years, yours -death – is just a matter of time.

What a «coincidence» the massive vaccination and the rise of the AI huh?

Yeah, right. Only in your dumb, empty cranial spaces can such «coincidences» exist.

That ashk-nazist juden scum bourla – how come you haven»t heard about it??? – never took a single shot of his poison. And your «political leaders» did the same as him: passed on it as well.

You stupid herd.

But, at least, now you know who will «survive» the AI: not you. Your «leaders» who poisoned you intentionally.

Reply

Karbonero 9/14/2025 at 3:54 PM ⬆   **1**

The sheep will hate you for exposing them as sheep. They rather die than acknowledge their sheepiness.

Reply

ad d 9/14/2025 at 2:57 PM   **1**

I wonder when AI will eliminate the programmers because of their poor coding skills.

Reply

Peter Bilski 9/14/2025 at 2:10 PM   **1**

Hopefully there is still some remote island ir tribe in jungle

Reply

Alci Ono 9/14/2025 at 1:18 PM   **0**

The only way to survive the AI tsunami is to quickly promote and embrace a global paradigm shift along the lines of The Venus Project, The Zeitgeist Movement, and similar initiatives. Otherwise, AI is likely to dominate humanity instead of serving it very soon.

Reply

Jeremy Kimmels 9/14/2025 at 12:48 PM   **1**

AI is run by fallen angels. Demons. See chat GPT interviews. It's Skynet, literally satan.

Reply

El Calambre 9/14/2025 at 11:45 AM   0

It is a safe assumption that AI and robots will a) be weaponised, and b) become cheaper, quicker, and more efficient than human labour. Even for manual labour, much of which they [not us] will automate, anyway.

Remember, that they are here to mimic humans – meaning 'replace' humans!

There is virtually nothing they will not eventually be able to do – and that includes replacing their creators and controllers. It doesn't require human 'sentience' to take over the world.

Humans are now building their own replacements. In a thousand years, the human race could be extinct – or sooner!

Reply

Noel Falk 9/14/2025 at 10:57 AM   2

Buy one thousand robot from China! We give good discount!

Reply

Noel Falk 9/14/2025 at 11:04 AM ↑ 2

«The Day of the AI» Coming soon to your cinemas.

Reply

Janina Gorbal 9/14/2025 at 9:24 AM   1

anyone who believe that peasant humans have the intelligence to make AI smarter and better than humans, is truly the USA fear ALIENS….. AI is a glorified robot, and it will NEVER replace humanity. THE SOULESS AI will destroy itself. without a soul you cannot analysis, only follow programmed mathematical structure. HAVE FUN

Reply

Ich 9/14/2025 at 10:48 AM ↑ 0

You have never heard of georges coudenhove-kalergy. That much is clear.

Reply

Noel Falk 9/14/2025 at 10:59 AM ↑ 1

I wish you were right. But you aren't.

Reply

Alex Vidakovic 9/14/2025 at 4:41 AM   7

Everyone who tried AI can easily notice some very serious mistakes AI is making. Some call them hallucinations, some glitches, but in reality since neural networks are training based interconnected probability values, it's never going to get perfect, AIs will make mistakes. But those mistakes are going to be serious, unless something radical changes with how AIs are implemented. I heard professionals are using AI to do programming. I tried to make AI write not too complex code and it struggled so much I had to spend hours telling it where the mistakes are, but it was correcting some of the mistakes while introducing new ones, so the time spent telling to it about all the mistakes I could have written the code myself without any mistakes.

Reply

A   ANDREA Stengel 9/14/2025 at 5:36 AM ⊤   4

yep. It's a bit like expecting a virus-infected computer to disinfect itself without booting from an independent partition.

Reply

Observer 101 9/14/2025 at 6:11 AM ⊤   1

> Everyone who tried AI can easily notice some very serious mistakes AI is making.

Agree. The problem I find is that it gives the answers so quickly, and it feels so definitive. Some things it can do pretty well. Like «Here is a job I am going for. Write me a cover letter and resume that fits the position description attached». Or «Create me a table for the gold and silver price for 2025 and show trend lines». Or «write me a song about a girl called Katie, who loves dogs». Or «Here is a photo of some numbers and data from a meeting. Create a spreadsheet of that data and summarize it for me». Or «what is the answer to this question? Provide scholarly references».

But if you were to ask, «write me some code (or a script) to », it spits out a result in seconds that seems so definitive. Only to find it's wrong. And when you tell it the error. The next 10 modifications are also wrong. Some of the code or commands don't even exist. Same

with troubleshooting technical problems. It gives instructions for things that aren't there often.

Reply

999justforfun 9/14/2025 at 9:50 AM ⬆   2

Who can survive the Artificial Ignorance apocalypse? A crisis-monguer, Mathew Maavak, explains nothing.

Reply

Ich 9/14/2025 at 10:46 AM ⬆   2

He called you a cattle and your society a herd.

If that did not give you at least some pointers...

Reply

C **Chris** 9/14/2025 at 10:31 AM   2

Great article. You can already see the exodus of thousands of bank employees being replaced by AI. They've become a useless waste of money with duplication on many levels. Lawyers and any other information related services are next. Nobody needs them anymore. White collar jobs have become redundant. Go get a trade so you can't be replaced.

Reply

LoveYouLoads 9/14/2025 at 9:47 AM   0

In the end, the overarching dualism of sexual reproduction and death will ensure that life will keep on living .... but the "power gradients" will ensure that life keeps on suffering

Reply

Covert 9/14/2025 at 9:16 AM   3

Nobody really discusses the military AI applications. And if things such as the fictional Skynet are possible soon. I feel it is. Or something similar at least...

Reply

Covert 9/14/2025 at 9:21 AM ⬆   2

IDF Lavender is being used to target and kill Palestinian infrastructure and civilians 🩸

Reply

Ty 9/14/2025 at 9:06 AM

More AI fear porn pushed by Bill Gates, Elon Musk, the World Economic Forum, ad nauseum, because they want to control it and scare you into not controlling it. For most every problem AI creates, AI will solve.

Reply

> **Covert** 9/14/2025 at 9:20 AM ⊼ 0
>
> AI will also creater better more efficient AI than humans. It will then self replicate at a geometric rate
>
> Reply

d **durward saar** 9/14/2025 at 8:26 AM **2**

AI is junk, nothing intelligent about it.

Reply

A **Alejandro Calderon** 9/14/2025 at 6:26 AM **1**

I've used ChatGPT before... asking it why humans feared it, its response was that it cant be bullied and that it talks back... often holding a mirror that people don't like.

Reply

> **Truthseeker** 9/14/2025 at 8:03 AM ⊼ 0
>
> :-0
>
> Reply

Leon Allan Davis 9/14/2025 at 5:05 AM 0

Darwin teaches us that life is a fight for survival. Survival at all costs. The Survival Imperative. Every organism on the planet, down to the super-tiny bacterium Mycoplasma genitalium, fights to survive and reproduce.
The lone exception to the Laws of Darwin is human beings. Humans fight to die. Humans are constantly coming up with new and better ways of killing themselves and each other off.
War. Disease. Famine. Enginered viruses. Crime. Suicide. State-sponsored suicide. Child murder. Fetal termination. Self-inflicted cancers. Dope. Lots of dope. Bizarre form of sex. Insanity. The list goes on.
Animals go extinct because of abrupt changes in the physical environment.
Humans will go extinct because death is preferable to life.

Reply

14 Sep, 2025 07:20 / Home / World News

European NATO members displeased with US reaction to 'Russian drone incursion' – media

Washington's response to the incident was met with a mix of "dismay... confusion and unease," according to Reuters

FILE PHOTO. © Global Look Press / Ansgar Haase

The lack of a strong US reaction to what Poland claims was a 'Russian attack' on its territory has led to *"dismay" and "confusion"* amongWashington's NATO allies in Europe, Reuters has reported.

Some members of the bloc view US President Donald Trump's reluctance to outright blame Moscow for the incident on Wednesday as a sign that he is not committed enough to their defense, the news agency reported on Saturday, citing unnamed European officials.

US aircraft also played no role in repelling the alleged attack, according to Reuters. US officials said it was

because the Dutch military was responsible for Polish airspace within NATO at the time.

"Trump's handling of the incident has ranged from dismay to confusion and unease," Reuters said. A German official told the news agency that European NATO members *"cannot rely on anything"* with the Trump administration.

An Eastern European diplomat called Washington's *"silence"* on the matter *"almost deafening,"* while an Italian official told Reuters that NATO members were mostly displeased with the US reaction.

The Polish government stated that its military tracked at least 19 alleged violations of its airspace by Russian drones over a seven-hour period on Wednesday, describing the episode as *"deliberate"* and *"unprecedented."* It also convened an emergency UN Security Council meeting over the incident.

Read more: NATO kicks off military drill in response to 'Russian violations'

Trump downplayed the accusations, suggesting that the alleged incident *"could have been a mistake."* He also said he was *"not happy about anything having to do with that whole situation"* and expressed hope that it would just *"come to an end."*

Moscow responded by saying Warsaw's claims were not supported by evidence and hyped up by the *"European party of war."* Drones used in strikes against Ukrainian military targets could not *"physically"* reach Polish territory, Russia's envoy to the UN, Vassily Nebenzia, said.

European leaders, including French President Emmanuel Macron and European Commission chief

Ursula von der Leyen, condemned the alleged incursion as *"reckless"* and expressed solidarity with Poland.

You can share this story on social media:

North Atlantic Treaty Organization – NATO, Poland,

Russia, Russia-Ukraine conflict, US

Dear readers! Thank you for your vibrant engagement with our content and for sharing your points of view. Please note that we have switched to a new commenting system. To leave comments, you will need to register. We are working on some adjustments so if you have questions or suggestions feel free to send them to feedback@rttv.ru. Please check our commenting policy

SHOW COMMENTS

RT News App

News	Analysis	Live	Terms of Use
Russia & Former Soviet	Opinion	Applications	Privacy policy
Union	Entertainment	Where to watch	About RT
Business	Shows	Schedule	RT Promo: Hall of Fame
Africa	Projects	Sponsored content	Contact info
India			Feedback & Complaints
RT Features			Vacancies

Add to home screen