



# The New Eugenics Movement - Part 2


The trillion dollar biotech network that wants to edit your genome to make you a better person, and wants us off social media.



DR AH KAHN SYED  
MAR 30, 2024



I hope by now you all had chance to read Part 1. In case you haven't it's here:




## The New Eugenics Movement - Part 1

DR AH KAHN SYED · FEBRUARY 6, 2024

[Read full story](#) →

You might want to make yourself comfortable for the next part, it's not quick but it will be worth it.



To introduce where we are going next I'll try and outline this in the way that a few memes have done during COVID, outlining the "safe and effective" claims that are

rapidly being retracted

- It's just a vaccine
- It stays in the arm
- It only lasts a few days
- It doesn't integrate into the genome
- It's not gene therapy
- There is no DNA in the vaccine
- It doesn't go to the nucleus
- It's safe in pregnancy and doesn't go to the placenta
- It doesn't stay in the arm, it goes everywhere but that's a good thing
- There is plasmid contamination but it's only in small doses and there is no evidence that it causes any harm
- OK, it goes to the placenta but that means that the baby is protected too

--[YOU ARE CURRENTLY HERE]--

- OK it's gene therapy but we've been using it for years and it's been safe and effective with no adverse events identified after billions of doses.
- The LNP technology was brand new and hadn't been tried but it's been safe and effective with no adverse events identified after billions of doses.
- The same technology can be used for CRISPR gene editing which is now approved by the FDA and in combination with the LNP technology can be used to *provide permanent vaccine that you never need to get updated with boosters and repeated ocular injections*

Because as we all know, it was really the 2 seconds of a needle prick that caused half the world's population to reject the new therapy until the vaccine mandates kicked in and nothing to do with the fact that most people would have rejected a novel gene therapy if they knew what it actually was.



## Needle fears can cause COVID-19 vaccine hesitancy, but these strategies can manage pain and fear

Published: August 17, 2021 11.43pm AEST

Unmanaged needle fear is very distressing for those affected and can influence health-care choices. Science-backed methods can help people manage their phobia get vaccinated. (Shutterstock)

No, Prof Meghan Murthy, it wasn't fear over a needle. It was the realisation that you were trying to tag the world with a gene therapy that no person provided informed consent to.

And yes, it [was gene therapy](#) however much the courts try and obfuscate the issue claiming that doctors who not only were forced to take the product but were co-opted to administer the product under false pretences don't have standing, and have now ended up on the receiving end of a judicial misconduct complaint.



Jules On The Beach

### Judicial Misconduct .. Separation of Powers is Broken

good substack folk, Updated More appropriate image after the earlier article .. clearer title after what has transpired, and what is unfolding This article involves serious allegations of judicial misbehaviour triggering special powers under Section 72(ii) of the Australian Constitution...

[Read more](#)

a year ago · 96 likes · 38 comments · Julian Gillespie

And how do we know it's gene therapy? Well because the FDA <sup>1</sup>, Moderna, BioNt and every other institution that know what it actually is says so. From the 2024 FD document (copied in the footnote):

*Human gene therapy seeks to modify or manipulate the expression of a gene or to alter biological properties of living cells for therapeutic use. **FDA generally considers human gene therapy products to include all products that mediate their effects by transcription translation of transferred genetic material**, or by specifically altering host (human) gene sequences. Some examples of gene therapy products include nucleic acids, genetically modified microorganisms (e.g., viruses, bacteria, fungi), engineered site-specific nuclea used for human genome editing, and ex vivo genetically modified human cells...*

<https://www.fda.gov/media/76647/download>.

And yes, we discussed this previously but the point here is that we are going to get this point soon:

“Yes it [the COVID vaccine] was gene therapy but nobody denied that and in a case 6 billion doses were given with no adverse events. And now we know that editing is equally safe.”

Because that has been happening all along. Gaslighting 101. Because novel pharmaceutical products are always going to be safe if you make sure that no research papers are allowed to talk about how unsafe they are, and you [harass intimidate and threaten the doctors publishing said research](#).



Unacceptable Jessica

### **Scientific Censorship - lessons NOT learned**

As I sat in Jan Jekielek's studio chair, our paper got retracted. In fact, when the episode comes out, you'll see Jan refresh his browser live to witness the change to 'retracted' status. There was no justification, scientific or otherwise, and we are going to fight this...

Read more


a year ago · 364 likes · 152 comments · Jessica Rose

So now that we have established beyond a reasonable doubt that gene therapy vaccines (GTVs) are safe and effective with no papers showing that they induce cancer via [insertional mutagenesis](#) or any of the other problems causing [excess deaths](#) that we are seeing in the real world, we can be happy that CRISPR gene editing, which provides a **permanent** solution to your gene problem, will be the ideal replacement for those painful repeated injections that were the real reason that people had “vaccine hesitancy” (other than [institutionalised racism](#), of course)




## What the hell is CRISPR?

Well it's nothing to do with bacon or chips or crisps or fried chicken although its name is clearly intended to conjure up some briefly-fulfilling emotion. It's a technique for editing genes and genomes.



CRISPR stands for “clustered regularly interspaced short palindromic repeats” which relates to a specific pattern of DNA that are the target of the gene editing technique that also requires a “Cas” protein e.g. Cas9. The Cas protein forms a complex with “guide RNA” to guide where the DNA is cut and once cut, new DNA can be added like splicing a video tape in the old days.

Here’s a bigger version of the graphic above to explain it, from the [Genetic Literacy Project](#) (which we will come to later)




In the old days, CRISPR was reserved for editing genes in cells in the lab but as TheScience™ has advanced we now have the capacity to alter the genome of cells *in vivo*. That means, altering the genome in living organisms including humans.

And obviously it's totally safe and effective - or at least that is what you will be told because they found a way to [check for all those pesky off-target \(unintended\) proteins](#) that might arise from chopping up your genome whilst you're still alive. And of course all the the [known problems associated with in vivo CRISPR](#) will have been ironed

already, just like they did the mRNA vaccines. Unfortunate though for the kids that already died due to immune responses or insertional mutagenesis:

*Jesse Gelsinger, an 18-year-old with a mild form of the genetic disease ornithine transcarbamylase (OTC) deficiency, participated in a clinical trial which delivered a mutated OTC gene to the liver through a hepatic artery injection of the recombinant adenoviral vector housing the therapeutic gene. Unfortunately, Jesse passed away 4 days after treatment (7). The adenovirus vector triggered a much stronger immune response in Jesse than it had in other patients, causing a chain of multiple organ failures that ultimately led to his death (8). At the time of the trial, adenoviral vectors were considered reasonably safe. In preclinical development, however, two of the rhesus monkeys treated with the therapy developed a similar pattern of fatal hepatocellular necrosis (9). Shortly after, another gene therapy trial led to the development of leukemia in several young children induced by insertional oncogenesis from the therapy (10). These trials opened for two forms of SCID (SCID-X1 or common  $\gamma$  chain deficiency) and adenosine deaminase deficiency (ADA). The therapy used  $\gamma$ -retroviral vectors for ex vivo delivery of therapeutic transgene to autologous CD34+ hematopoietic stem cells, which were reintroduced to the patient (10). Five patients developed secondary therapy-related leukemia, one of whom died from the disease (11). Further investigation revealed integration of the therapeutic gene into the LMO2 proto-oncogene locus, presumably resulting in the development of leukemia (12). Subsequent analyses have suggested a higher frequency of insertional mutagenesis even with  $\gamma$ -retroviral vectors relative to other vectors (13).*

Of course CRISPR is so much fun that the Sasha lab [designed a game](#) to nudge you into believing that introducing it widely into the population would be a cool thing to do. Like dropping hot chips from the sky. I mean, who doesn't like hot chips right?



Screenshot from the “CRISPR is fun” [game](#)


To quote from their article:

*CRISPR — it’s one of those new science technologies that’s fun to talk about because v doesn’t want to to imagine a better future made possible by science? But whose version “better” would prevail? The “what if’s” made possible by CRISPR gene editing technology are under intense debate by the international science community. Because these debates already affect policy decisions, the public needs to consider the ethical ramifications of what CRISPR could make possible.*

Can you see the nudge here? Remember the greater good from Part 1?

Of course. Why would you NOT want to enhance the genetic pool of the world to make us all better humans, instead of those shitty humans we were yesterday, right

And how similar does this graphic from the article about the Sasha lab game look the trolley problem discussed in [Part 1](#)?



Screen shot from MIT's "Moral Machine". It is worth noting the geographic closeness of MIT and Harvard, which are to all intents and purposes the same place.

## CRISPR needs a PAM

Getting back to the subject, essentially in order for CRISPR to edit your genes you need:

- (1) a PAM <sup>2</sup> and
- (2) an RNA gene sequence matching the area of the genome you are targeting

The PAM is a tiny gene sequence (nGG where 'n' is any nucleotide e.g. CGG) that exists in multiple places of the genome. The RNA sequence is included to target a bit of DNA that you are interested in, provided it is next to a PAM. So you need a PAM and an RNA fragment. The most responsive PAM (of four options AGG, CGG, TG and GGG) is [CGG](#).

To see more about the PAM and how it works I would recommend 5 minutes on this fascinating video just showing how clever nature is, and how bacteria learnt to fight viruses using this genomic anti-viral defence mechanism.

## What is the PAM? - A CRISPR Whiteboard Lesson




If you'd rather just look at a graphic here it is showing the PAM and the "Guide R" or gRNA (the sequence that the scientist uses to match the sequence in your DNA they want to cut)

Science ● ● ●

## How does CRISPR-Cas9 work?

- Adapted from defense mechanism against virus of bacteria
- Cas9 is an enzyme using guide RNA leading to cut target DNA sequence
- Desired genetic sequence could add in repairing system for customize DNA



So if you want to edit the genome at a specific place you need to find a unique gene sequence next to a PAM site.

Well, you will now be pleased to know that the majority of the world has been provided such PAM sequences for free when they consented to receive a recombinant gene therapy vaccine courtesy of Pfizer, Moderna (and probably Novavax too <sup>3</sup>).

You see, those vaccines contain plasmids which are DNA and can easily integrate your own cells' DNA, as demonstrated by multiple authors but most recently [Kevin McKernan](#) and [Hiroshi Arakawa](#) who confirmed the integration of plasmid DNA chromosome 12 and chromosome 9. I warned that this was likely [here](#).

汚染DNAの12番染色体への統合に関するリード


ゲノム統合リード1	OVCAR-P1- PB9715.bam	chr12	GGAGTAGCCATGAGGGCAGAGATAAGTTTTCAGATTCTGGATAGGAGTGGGGCCACAGCAGCATTGATGTGGGGCGTGATGTAGGGGGTGAGGAGAGTGGGA CTTTTGGGGCAAGGGCTACCACCTGATGAGCTTCCTCAGTCTGCCCTCA	LH00401:52:223JYLT4 49846329 2:21732:10609
	OVCAR-P1- PB9715.bam	chr12	GCCATGAGGGCAGAGATAAGTTTTCAGATTCTGGATAGGAGTGGGGCCACAGCAGCATTGATGTGGGGCGTGATGTAGGGGGTGAGGAGAGTGGACT TTTGGGGCAAGGGCTACCACCTGATGAGCTTCCTCAGTCTGCCCTCACGGCT	LH00401:52:223JYLT4 49846335 4:30529:4796

汚染DNAの9番染色体への統合に関するリード

ゲノム統合リード2	OVCAR-P1- PB9715.bam	chr9	TCAGAAAGTGCTTTGTGATGTTTGCATTCAAGTCACAGAGTTGAATATTCCTTTTATAGAGCAGGTTTGAACACTCTTCTGCCTGTGGCCGGCACA TCACAAGCGGCTGGACATTTGGAGCAGATCGGAAGACACCCCTCTGA	LH00401:52:223JYLT4 47523480 9:32285:8116
	OVCAR-P1- PB9715.bam	chr9	GCTAGAGGAGCCTCTCCGATCTTCAGAAAGTGCTTTGTGATGTTTGCATTCAAGTCACAGAGTTGAATATTCCTTTTATAGAGCAGGTTTGAACACTCT TTCGCTGTGGCCGGCACAATTCACAAGCGGCTGGACATTTGGAGC	LH00401:52:223JYLT4 47523480 9:32285:8116
	OVCAR-P1- PB9715.bam	chr9	TCAGAAAGTGCTTTGTGATGTTTGCATTCAAGTCACAGAGTTGAATATTCCTTTTATAGAGCAGGTTTGAACACTCT TTCGCTGTGGCCGGCACAATTCACAAGCGGCTGGACATTTGGAGC	LH00401:52:223JYLT4 47523480 0:7304:8102

\*リードはディープシーケンシングの個々の

The great news about this integration event is that in both cases shown above, there is a CRISPR-Cas9 PAM sequence (CGG, AGG, GGG or TGG) in the sequence that has been integrated into the genome. This is fantastic news if you wanted to then subsequently edit the genome of the recipients of your drug at precisely the place you had previously added DNA!



To perform gene editing of a subject using CRISPR-Cas9 you need a specific gene sequence at the point you want to cut the genome, next to a PAM sequence

And as it happens, the process of “codon optimisation <sup>4</sup>” of the gene sequence of the COVID vaccines (the process by which Pfizer, Moderna and Novavax decided to c

a whole new 3822 nucleotide sequence that was different from the Wuhan Spike gene sequence yet supposedly produced the exact same *amino acid* sequence) produced a huge increase in the number <sup>5</sup> of PAM sequences - particularly of the most efficient CGG type. What a lucky coincidence!

Triplet	Count - SARSCOV2	Count - BNT162B2	Count - Moderna	Count - Novavax
AGG	45	34	42	48
CGG	6	63	93	40
GGG	13	29	40	26
TGG	70	76	67	78

So the genomic integration event that has arisen out of what you thought was just a vaccine but turned out to be gene therapy has now conveniently provided the two necessary factors for subsequent gene editing - lots of PAM sequences and **specific genome sequences that weren't present in the human genome prior** <sup>6</sup>.

In other words, 6 billion people are potentially now ready to be the recipient of a straightforward gene editing process that can replace your rusty old COVID vaccine sequence and replace it with a shiny new gene for pretty much anything.


Of course, because the people involved in the gene editing industry are super nice people they wouldn't think to do such a thing would they?

Well let's look at who they are... before we assume that they have all been acting altruistically.

## The Corporate Behemoth of the Gene Editing Industry

It is essential to understand that gene editing is very expensive - [upwards of \\$1m a pop](#). Bad news for the consumer but excellent news for the companies behind it stand to make - not billions - but **trillions of dollars** if they can get this product in people like they did with the COVID vaccines.

And wouldn't you just know it? When the COVID vaccines were flying off the shelves and before we were allowed to find out that they weren't all they were promised to be, the price of the Big 4 CRISPR corporations' stock went through the roof. Then crashing down as fast when problems with the mRNA vaccines started to be noticed.



The stock price of the Big 4 gene editing companies - CRSP, EDIT, NTLA, SGMO rose hugely mid 2021 only to come crashing down soon after

So who are the players behind these CRISPR corporations and the technologies that underpin them?

Well the biggest player is **Jennifer Doudna**, co-inventor of CRISPR.

Here she is talking about her utopian vision of the world, where elite scientists like can edit the genome of cows to *make them fart less*, thus saving us all from climate change. No, I'm not kidding.

## CRISPR's Next Advance Is Bigger Than You Think | Jennifer Doudna | TED



This is the important bit of what she says (from 5:14):

*We know the poorest countries and people are the most affected by climate change, and a problem created by the wealthiest people. And methane is a big part of the problem. It has been a major contributor to rising global temperatures since preindustrial times. Specific microbiome compositions in livestock can actually reduce methane emissions by up to 30 percent. But doing that today currently requires daily interventions at enormous expense, and it just doesn't scale. But with precision microbiome editing, we have an opportunity to modify a calf's microbiome at birth, limiting that animal's impact on the climate for its entire lifetime....*

*So our technology could really move the needle in our fight against climate change.*

So Jennifer Doudna, the inventor of CRISPR and holder of an [obscene number of patents](#) potentially worth trillions of dollars wants to edit the genome of animals (and presumably “poor” humans) for political causes.

Great. Who’s next? (Don’t worry, this gets worse).

Here's another group of scientists involved in normalising gene editing as just the same as any other medical treatment. **Peter Singer** wrote the foreword to the “Handbook of Bioethical Decisions <sup>Z</sup>” which should be a guide for scientists not to step over the bounds of messing with humanity's basic building blocks of life. Luc Peter is also a co-author on this “controversial” article [defending eugenics](#). Yes you read that right, *defending* eugenics.

Yay!

[Monash Bioeth Rev.](#) 2021; 39(1): 60–67.

PMCID: PMC832198

Published online 2021 May 25. doi: [10.1007/s40592-021-00129-1](https://doi.org/10.1007/s40592-021-00129-1)

PMID: [3403300](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/3403300/)

## Can ‘eugenics’ be defended?

[Walter Veit](#),<sup>1</sup> [Jonathan Anomaly](#),<sup>2</sup> [Nicholas Agar](#),<sup>3</sup> [Peter Singer](#),<sup>4</sup> [Diana S. Fleischman](#),<sup>5</sup> and [Francesca Minerva](#)<sup>6,7</sup>

► [Author information](#) ► [Article notes](#) ► [Copyright and License information](#) [PMC Disclaimer](#)

### Abstract

[Go to:](#) ►

In recent years, bioethical discourse around the topic of ‘genetic enhancement’ has become increasingly politicized. We fear there is too much focus on the semantic question of whether we should call particular practices and emerging bio-technologies such as CRISPR ‘eugenics’, rather than the more important question of how we should view them from the perspective of ethics and policy. Here, we address the question of whether ‘eugenics’ can be defended and how proponents and critics of enhancement should engage with each other.

And his co-author **Jonathan Anomaly** wrote this edgy piece about how you can all be treated as “public goods”, which cleverly embraces the totalitarian ethos of “the greater good” with the concept of humans being public property (see [Part 1](#)).

## Abstract

---

Procreation is the ultimate public goods problem. Each new child affects the welfare of many other people, and some (but not all) children produce uncompensated value that future people will enjoy. This essay addresses challenges that arise if we think of procreation and parenting as public goods. These include whether individual choices are likely to lead to a socially desirable outcome, and whether changes in laws, social norms, or access to genetic engineering and embryo selection might improve the aggregate outcome of our reproductive choices.

Fortunately for the world it is not just the Doudnas, Anomalys and Singers of the scientific world that are in charge of our genomes. The funding for much of this industry comes from none other than people like the infamous Jeffrey Epstein - literally tried to create his [own eugenics retreat](#).

### The New York Times

## *Jeffrey Epstein Hoped to Seed Human Race With His DNA*

By [James B. Stewart](#), [Matthew Goldstein](#) and [Jessica Silver-Greenberg](#)

July 31, 2019

And here he is meeting with the eugenicists “genomic improvers” at Harvard, including leading CRISPR scientists **George Church** and **Eric Lander** (h/t [Jesse Matchey](#), who was cancelled from twitter for 2 years for exposing this).

# Meeting on Harvard Campus hosted by Jeffrey Epstein in ir 2012 with top MIT & Harvard STEM Faculty

All photos of this meeting were deleted from JeffreyEpstein.org



**Leon Black**  
 -Apollo Global Managment  
 -Donated \$10m to Epstein Foundation in 2015

**Martin Novak**  
 -Director of Harvard's Program for Evolutionary Dynamics



**Jeffery Epstein**  
 -Pledged \$30 million to establish Harvards Program for Evolutionary Dymamics

**Eric Lander**  
 -Professor of Biology at MIT  
 -Director of 'The Broad Institute' at Harvard and MIT



**Ted Kaputchek**  
 -Professor of Medicine at Harvard



**Martin Novak**  
 -Visited Epstein island in 2007  
 -Received over \$9 million from Epstein 1998-2007

**Seth Lloyd**  
 -Professor of Physics and Mechanical Engineering at MIT  
 -Visited Epstein island in 2007



**Howard Gardner**  
 -Developmental Psychologist  
 -Harvard

**Leon Black**  
 -Funneled \$5.5 million to MIT through Jeffrey Epstein



**Martin Nowak**   **Ted Kaputchek**



**Jeffrey Epstein**  
 -Funded the construction of 'Rosovsky Hall' at Harvard in 1990s

**Henry Rosovsky**  
 -Dean of Harvard Faculty of Arts and Science



**Jeffrey Epstein**  
 -Visiting Fellow at Harvards Department of Psychology

**Eric Lander**  
 -"In an email to *The Tech*, Lander wrote that he has no association with and has not recieved research funding from Epstein" 2019



**Jeffrey Epstein**   **George Church**  
 -Professor of Genetics at Harvard  
 -Pioneer of CRISPr genetic editing technology  
 -Visited Epstein island in 2007



**Leon Black**   **Martin Nowak**



**Eric Lander**  
 -Pioneer of CRISPr genetic editing technology



**Henry Rosovsky**   **David Gergen**  
 -Presidential Advisor to Nixon, Ford, Reagan and Clinton  
 -CNN Senior Political Analyst


As ridiculous as it seems, Jeffrey Epstein [founded and funded Harvard's creepily named "program for evolutionary dynamics"](#) - which for most people might be more reasonably named "eugenics program". He spent a lot of time with people from the "Skeptics society" who you will have seen disparaging "Antivaxxers" on twitter. The purpose is presumably to prop up the [stock of the biotech companies](#), because if there were to allow dissenting scientists to speak freely on social media that stock would collapse.

The "Skeptics" include people like James Randi, who was part of a long running [identity theft fraud](#) that was eventually prosecuted, and Loren Pankratz who [fraudulently claimed expertise in order to defend pedophiles](#) in court <sup>8</sup>. The de facto leadership of the Skeptics movement passed to David Gorski who now runs a hate campaign via [multiple blogs](#) against people who try to speak out against the Epstein Pharmaceutical conglomerates, as well as **Richard Dawkins** who has happily defended "[mild paedophilia](#)" again and again <sup>9</sup>.

**The Observer** Jeffrey Epstein

## Private jets, parties and eugenics: Jeffrey Epstein's bizarre world of scientists

The billionaire financier and convicted sex offender famously mixed with presidents, models and film stars. But he also indulged his unorthodox beliefs by cultivating top scientists



As an indication of the arrogance of these people, the Skeptics Society's Lawrence Krauss [maintains his page](#) on The Edge (a [Jeffrey Epstein founded media organisa](#) [10](#), see below) proudly describing the meeting of 21 "Skeptics" physicists on Epste now infamous island.



The Skeptics' Lawrence Krauss on the way to Epstein Island (Little St James) with Stephen Hawking

One of the major players in the CRISPR money making megalith mentioned above **George Church**, with 20 companies under his belt - just to show how altruistic he

**OUR FOUNDER**

### Professor George Church

George Church is a Professor of Genetics at Harvard Medical School and Professor of Health Sciences and Technology at Harvard University and the Massachusetts Institute of Technology (MIT). His pioneering work has contributed to the development of DNA sequencing and genome engineering technologies for which he received multiple awards including the 2011 Bower Award and Prize for Achievement in Science from the Franklin Institute and election to the National Academy of Sciences and Engineering. He co-authored over 550 publications, more than 150 patents, and a book titled "Regenesis: How Synthetic Biology Will Reinvent Nature and Ourselves". He also initiated the Personal Genome Project and started over 20 companies.

Altruistic George of course has no vested interests in persuading you (the public) to adopt this technology as a backbone for all medical treatments, that would attract

royalties for one of his [myriad of patents](#), because he's so altruistic.

He's so altruistic in fact that he wants gene editing technology [available to everybody "rich or poor"](#) <sup>11</sup>. This would of course be fantastic news for the gene editing corporation stocks, who need their share of those trillions of dollars. "Rich or poor" means, as in the case of the the COVID mRNA vaccines, that the treatments are "free" (which actually means is that you will get those treatments, mandatorily or otherwise paid for by "the government"). "The government" means the money laundering process that takes public funds and diverts them without consultation or transparency to the pharmaceutical companies like George's. Very, very altruistically of course.

**Genetic Literacy Project**  
SCIENCE NOT IDEOLOGY

[Newsletter Sign Up](#) [Donate](#)

**Genetics pioneer George Church on support for human gene editing:  
As long as it's open to everyone—rich or poor**

Brit Farmer | CBS News | December 16, 2019

[X](#) [in](#) [f](#) [r](#) [Print](#) [PDF](#) [Email](#)

And George is also very happy to get you to donate your genome to [Nebula Genom](#) one of his many companies, for "whole genome sequencing". Imagine what such a company would do with that information whilst at the same time advocating the idea of living forever through genome editing, according to this disturbing interview with Stephen Colbert. In the interview George seems happy to resurrect a woolly mammoth genome and put it into another animal (or presumably human), just like in [The Island of Dr Moreau](#) - the creepy chimaeric dystopian novel written by the eugenics-adjacent HG Wells (also mentioned in [Part 1](#)).

## Stephen's Pretty Sure George Church Said He's Going To Live Forever



The other guy mentioned in the MIT/Epstein meeting is **Eric Lander** who some of you might recognise as having been elevated to the US presidential cabinet after the debacle of COVID and before he had managed to ditch his \$million+ vaccine stockpile. But I'm sure that didn't influence the demand for vaccine mandates in the US at all.

WHITE HOUSE

## Lander held on to vaccine maker stock months into tenure

He ultimately sold the holdings within the allotted 90-day window. But ethics officials say it raises questions about his advocacy.



As a member of the president's Cabinet, part of Eric Lander's job was to promote the administration's vaccination efforts in an effort to fight against the Covid pandemic. | Anna Moneymaker/Getty Images

By ALEX THOMPSON  
02/09/2022 04:30 AM EST



Worse still, Eric Lander also heads the Broad Institute in Boston, home of Editas - of the Big 4 genome editing corporations who have been in a [patent catfight](#) with Jennifer Doudna's West Coast empire for a decade.

And you might have noticed that George Church is part of the "Genetic Literacy Project" (which also [loves Eric Lander](#)) and which you might think sounds like some nice touchy feely NGO. You'd be wrong. It has [contributors](#) such as Eliza Dunn (Monsanto-Bayer's famous medical spokesperson who likes to tell us how safe RoundUp and gene editing are and how the Indian farmers didn't really [commit r suicide](#) because of their products). And Kevin Folta, the "sustainable doctor fighting disinformation" who wants you to just eat the GMO crops and shut up.



Kevin Folta  
@kevinfolta



If you are against disinformation in food and farming technology please consider being a signatory on the statement against the [@AmerAcadPeds](#) fear campaign against biotech crops. [#GMO](#) [#AgTwitter](#)



5:46 PM · Mar 29, 2024 · 300 Views


The eagle eyed of you will notice the link to “[skepticalinquirer.org](#)” which is indeed one of the main journals of the Skeptics society [listing its members](#) including Kevin Folta, Steven Pinker ([Epstein affiliate](#)), [Steven Novella](#) - lead Skeptic, Richard Dawkins and David Gorski. It also includes Paul Offit - the supposedly independent but not infamous lead member of the FDA’s vaccine advisory committee (VRBPAC) and his nickname is “for profit” due to his numerous [conflicts of interest](#).

These networks are nudging you all into accepting gene editing as normal, safe, and with no risk of collateral damage. Yet you won’t be the ones deciding who gets edited, what gets edited, and who decides whether the editing is mandated.




## Show them the money

Those networks do not just involve the overt companies set to make trillions. When this much money is at stake insiders like me are just an annoying fly needing to be swatted.

And that is literally what they do. Here is an infamous twitter account who not only posts about CRISPR biotech stocks on twitter but overtly manipulating those stocks with coded phrases like “haircut coming in 2021” and “send it ” meaning to short the stock.



But " (apparently short for "Ryan" "Jason" and a bunch of other pseudonyms) is not just posting his stock bets on twitter. Nope, he was also discovered to be the prolific "Community Notes" writer "Enterprising Desert Raven" who robotically posts notes to twitter attempting to undermine any post that is critical of the COVID vaccines or in fact any gene therapy or pharmaceutical product. The link between anonymous Ryan/Jason account and "Enterprising Desert Raven" was found in February when there was a bug on twitter which meant that if you blocked an account the community note belonging to that account would [disappear from view](#) <sup>12</sup>.



Unfortunately for Enterprising Desert Raven this [relentless manipulation of scientific views](#) in relation to suppression of the safety concerns over a product made by a corporation who might benefit from this undue social media manipulation might reasonably be considered a form of [securities fraud](#).

To drive the point home here are his tweets in conjunction with the \$CRSP stock price. This is called a “pump and dump” scheme.



The problem for the Biotech stocks people like Ryan is of course pesky mice interfering with their ability to pump and dump or otherwise manipulate the stock price of corporations that have been discovered to be lying about the validity of their products. So then what they need to do is attack those mice (scientists) - and get them off twitter as I showed back in 2021.



## The Pseudoscientific Swamp of Twitter

DR AH KAHN SYED • OCTOBER 17, 2021

[Read full story →](#)

And it's not just me. Scientists who have used their real names have been targeted harassed and threatened by people with vested interests in the “create a pandemic create the solution, make billions of dollars” pipeline.



**David J Hermiz MD** ✓  
@DrHermiz



## Permanent Departure from Twitter: Harassment from Peter Daszak

Dear Twitter Colleagues and Friends,

I have made the difficult decision to permanently leave Twitter. This decision is shaped by recent events and my commitment to my patients, stemming from a complex situation involving my recent interactions with Dr. Peter Daszak. Our differing views on the origins of COVID-19 have led to repeated and flagrant breaches of numerous ethical and professional boundaries on his part. Instead of engaging in good faith, he has used his significant following and authority in scientific circles to attack my character and competency, threaten me with legal action, twist and misrepresent my posts and comments, and whip up his followers to repeatedly attack my character, ethics, and competency.

The same network resulted in this post from the Jikkyleaks twitter account which explains the [networks attempting to blackmail and intimidate into silence scientists speaking out in the public interest.](#)

**Jikkyleaks** 🗨️ ✓ @Jikkyleaks · Nov 2, 2023

It's time to rest this account.

It has been a hard road fighting against corrupt entities that I never knew existed to such an extent in the halls of power. And against the relentless propaganda that has seemingly usurped most if not all of academia and medicine...

[Show more](#)



Of course this is not their first rodeo, as we have mentioned before. Yet nobody went to jail for abusing the medical regulators as drug mules, resulting in an estimated 30,000 deaths just from Vioxx alone:

### Court hears how drug giant Merck tried to “neutralise” and “discredit” doctors critical of Vioxx

*BMJ* 2009 ; 338 doi: <https://doi.org/10.1136/bmj.b1432> (Published 06 April 2009)

Cite this as: *BMJ* 2009;338:b1432

### The web runs wide and deep

So far this article has merely scratched the surface of the networks involved with this huge Biotech mafia power grab, where the prize is measured in hundreds of trillion dollars.

The [Big 4 gene editing companies](#) are one huge cartel encompassing the main academic centres between Boston (Harvard, Cambridge MA) on the East Coast and UCSF on the West Coast. They are:

[INTELLIA](#) - Founded by Jennifer Doudna. Partnered with Regeneron.

[CRISPR](#) - Founded by Emmanuel Charpentier (Doudna’s patent partner).

[BEAM](#) - Founded by David Liu & Feng Zhang

[EDITAS](#) - Founded by Feng Zhang & George Church

You essentially have a **multi-trillion dollar monopoly in the hands of five people**. They aren’t even independent between the East and West Coast entities because Doudna herself [moved from one to the other](#) to set up the UCSF entity known as the Innovative Genomics Institute. Just to cement the point over the kinds of social media manipulation networks that are present, the inventor of the twitter Community Notes (Jay Baxter) algorithm was found to be linked to this small twitter account that turned out to be a scientist at Jennifer Doudna’s IGI.

In other words, **such people are embedded in the twitter influence networks which are there to ensure that nobody is allowed to talk about the problems with their**

products [13](#) - just like Vioxx.



## Harvard - the modern hub for academic fraud.

It's not just Jeffrey Epstein that leaves a bad smell at Harvard. Harvard and its link entities have been mired in viable accusations of [academic fraud](#) over the last 2 years with two camps fighting over who to smear the most.

Apart from the fraud involving the [Dana Farber cancer centre](#) there is a huge stink over the [suspension of the female Professor Francesca Gino](#) on the basis of a claim data fraud involving some of her psychology papers. The same stink has managed to evade her male co-author on the same paper(s), Dan Ariely. The following graph involving some of the players mentioned above as well as the infamous satanic artist Marina Abramovic may give a clue as to why Ariely, but not Gino, escaped persecution by Harvard [14](#).



Mon, Jan 08, 2024 CONVERSATIONS VIDEO AUDIO ANNUAL QUESTION EVENTS NEWS LIBRARY



**Jennifer A. Doudna**  
Nobel laureate (2020 - Chemistry); Geneticist, University of California, Berkeley; Co-Inventor, CRISPR-Cas9, Co-author, A Crack in Creation

DR. JENNIFER A. DOUDNA is a professor in the Chemistry and the Molecular and Cell Biology Departments at the University of California, Berkeley, investigator with the Howard Hughes Medical Institute, and researcher in the Physical Biosciences Division at the Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory. In 2020, she won the Nobel Prize in Chemistry. She is internationally recognized as a leading expert on RNA-protein structure and function, CRISPR biology, and genome engineering.

She is the co-author (with Samuel Sternberg) of *A Crack in Creation: Gene Editing and The Unthinkable Power to Control Evolution*.



Mon, Jan 08, 2024 CONVERSATIONS VIDEO AUDIO ANNUAL QUESTION EVENTS NEWS LIBRARY



**Dan Ariely**  
James B. Duke Professor of Psychology and Behavioral Economics, Duke University; Founding Member, Center for Advanced Hindsight; Author, Predictably Irrational

DAN ARIELY is the James B. Duke Professor of Psychology and Behavioral Economics at Duke University and a founding member of the Center for Advanced Hindsight. He does research in behavioral economics on the irrational ways people behave, described in plain language.

His immersive introduction to irrationality took place as he overcame injuries sustained in an explosion. During a range of treatments in the burn department he faced a variety of irrational behaviors that were immensely painful and persistent. He began researching ways to better deliver painful and unavoidable treatments to patients.

Ariely became engrossed with the idea that we repeatedly and predictably make the wrong decisions in many aspects of our lives and that research could help change some of these patterns.



### How Jeffrey Epstein Bought His Way Into An Exclusive Intellectual Boys Club

The Edge Foundation runs what has been called the "world's smartest website" and held annual "billionaires' dinners." It was also financed by Jeffrey Epstein and gave him access to elite circles in science and tech.

**Peter Aldous**  
BuzzFeed News Reporter

Posted on September 22, 2019 at 9:14 am

Be one of the first to comment



Mon, Jan 08, 2024 CONVERSATIONS VIDEO AUDIO ANNUAL QUESTION EVENTS NEWS LIBRARY



**Marina Abramovic**  
Artist; author, Walk Through Walls: A Memoir

MARINA ABRAMOVIC pioneered the use of performance as a visual art form and continues to make important durational works. The body has been both her subject and medium. Exploring the physical and mental limits of her being, she has withstood pain, exhaustion and danger in the quest for emotional and spiritual transformation. She is a vital member of the generation of pioneering performance artists that includes Bruce Nauman, Vito Acconci and Chris Burden.

Abramovic has presented her work with performances, sound, photography, video sculpture and Transitory Objects for Human and Non Human Use in solo exhibits at major institutions in the U.S. and Europe. Her work has also been included in its large-scale international exhibitions including the Venice Biennale (1976 and 1997) and Documenta VI, VII and IX, Kassel, Germany (1977, 1982 and 1992). She is the author of *Walk Through Walls: A Memoir*.



Mon, Jan 08, 2024 CONVERSATIONS VIDEO AUDIO ANNUAL QUESTION EVENTS NEWS LIBRARY




**Richard Dawkins**  
Evolutionary Biologist; Emeritus Professor of Oxford; Author, Books Do Furnish a Life

It rapidly became clear to me that the most imaginative way of life and the most inspiring way of teaching it, was to say that it's all the genes that, for their own good, are manipulating the bodies. The individual organism is a survival machine for its genes.


RICHARD DAWKINS, elected as a Fellow of the Royal Society in 1980, is a writer, who is known for his popularization of Darwinian ideas about evolution. He has invented telling metaphors for the Darwinian debate: His book *The Selfish Gene* argues that genes are the fundamental units of natural selection, the "replicators" including ourselves, are "vehicles," the packaging for "replicators." Failure of replicators is based on their ability to build successful complementarity in the relationship: vehicles propagate their interests themselves; replicators make vehicles. In *The Extended Phenotype*,

In fact the stench at Harvard reaches into the corners of all its affiliated organisations. Remember that Brigham and Women's was the home of the [Surgisphere scandal](#) which falsely discredited hydroxychloroquine. Hydroxychloroquine was eventually shown to reduce mortality from COVID by [more than half](#), indicating that the actions of the Harvard cartel could have resulted in literally millions of unnecessary deaths. If you aren't still seeing the links between Harvard, Dana Farber, the Broad Institute and Brigham & Women's ([Surgisphere 15](#)) a map might help - they are literally walking distance from each other.



Remember that at Harvard, if you are a **female professor who didn't write for Jeff Epstein's magazine** you will be publicly discredited for any data manipulation associated with your papers. But if you are in the right club, like Ariely, you'll apparently be fine.

Just to drive home the point - the four authors of this now totally discredited and proven fraud that almost certainly led to deaths, are also fine.

ARTICLES | [ONLINE FIRST](#)**RETRACTED: Hydroxychloroquine or chloroquine with or without a macrolide for treatment of COVID-19: a multinational registry analysis**Prof Mandeep R Mehra, MD   • Sapan S Desai, MD • Prof Frank Ruschitzka, MD • Amit N Patel, MDPublished: May 22, 2020 • DOI: [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736\(20\)31180-6](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(20)31180-6) •

Which begs the question - did Francesca Gino know something that made her a target? Perhaps that's an article for another day, but Sabine Hazan thinks the mice seem to have sniffed something out.



In the meantime if you aren't quite up on the story behind the Surgisphere fraud you can do no better than watching this fantastic and enlightening exposé from our ve good friend John Davidson. The making of this film relied solely on donations and good will so please feel free to send either or both along to John at [brokentruth.co](http://brokentruth.co)

## Epidemic of Fraud Documentary (Official, English)



### **CRIS-PR: the propaganda machine**

Just like with the Surgisphere scandal (sometimes called *Lancetgate*), for the push towards the economic holy grail of global CRISPR to be acceptable to reasonable people (most of whom don't want their genome edited by elites), a PR machine is necessary. And it is deeply embedded in the CRISPR institutions.

This Nature “paper” from 2015 is the biggest example of PR spin masquerading as science that you will ever see. “[CRISPR germline engineering - the community sp](#)

> [Nat Biotechnol. 2015 May;33\(5\):478-86. doi: 10.1038/nbt.3227.](#)

## CRISPR germline engineering--the community speaks

Katrine S Bosley <sup>1</sup>, Michael Botchan <sup>2</sup>, Annelien L Bredenoord <sup>3</sup>, Dana Carroll <sup>4</sup>, R Alta Charo <sup>5</sup>, Emmanuelle Charpentier <sup>6</sup>, Ron Cohen <sup>7</sup>, Jacob Corn <sup>8</sup>, Jennifer Doudna <sup>9</sup>, Guoping Feng <sup>10</sup>, Henry T Greely <sup>11</sup>, Rosario Isasi <sup>12</sup>, Weihzi Ji <sup>13</sup>, Jin-Soo Kim <sup>14</sup>, Bartha Knoppers <sup>12</sup>, Edward Lanphier <sup>15</sup>, Jinsong Li <sup>16</sup>, Robin Lovell-Badge <sup>17</sup>, G Steven Martin <sup>2</sup>, Jonathan Moreno <sup>18</sup>, Luigi Naldini <sup>19</sup>, Martin Pera <sup>20</sup>, Anthony C F Perry <sup>21</sup>, J Craig Venter <sup>22</sup>, Feng Zhang <sup>23</sup>, Qi Zhou <sup>24</sup>

Affiliations + expand

PMID: 25965754 DOI: [10.1038/nbt.3227](#)

All the players are there, and the good stuff is in the supplementary archived [16](#) in footnotes, but here are some doozies from people you might already be familiar with

**J. Craig Venter:** I think that human germline engineering is inevitable, and there will be basically no effective way to regulate or control the use of gene editing technology in human reproduction.

**Jonathan Moreno:** population biologists suggested 40 years ago that it might be advisable to establish a bank of traits that have been screened out of populations just in case they need to be reintroduced into the gene pool.

**Naldini:** The main current societal risk is the backlash from an exaggerated but potentially pervasive view that gene-editing technologies will lead to science-fiction scenarios in which humans are bred upon design leading to a whole array of unanticipated effects

**Zhang:** Where do we draw the boundary of what is an acceptable biological trait for editing in the germline and what is not?... as we become more comfortable with the safety of germline editing, should we allow editing to remove mutations that cause early-onset disease ... What about height, appearance and intelligence? Where do we draw the line?

**Cohen:** Greater risk if allowed to progress to discretionary, “designer gene” programs. The science fiction nightmare of an Orwellian totalitarian state or a Hitlerian society employing genetic engineering to achieve only “desirable” traits could ultimately happen, though we are nowhere close to this capability today.

But I think - for obvious reasons to those that have followed this blog since 2021 - favourite quote must be this one

*Kim: In an ideal world, germline genome editing would be available and affordable to everyone. No parents with a fatal genetic mutation would transfer their faulty gene to their children. In an unequal society, however, germline genome editing will be affordable to the rich only, resulting in a “gene divide”, as predicted in the film, “GATTACA”.*

Of course when nature published that paper in 2015, “the community” only referred to those already high up in the biological-weapons-genome-editing [hierarchy](#), who have vested interests in not letting grass roots scientists speak if it might jeopardise the trillion dollar industry.

Some 8 years later, Nature returned with this article telling us how “safe and effective” CRISPR is, so we needn’t worry. Of course the person being interviewed in the article just happens to be from UCSF, Jennifer Doudna’s institute. No conflicts of interest were declared, because, well, you know.

NEWS EXPLAINER | 28 October 2023 | Correction [31 October 2023](#) | Correction [07 November 2023](#)

## Is CRISPR safe? Genome editing gets its first FDA scrutiny

**Advisers to the US regulatory agency will examine the safety profile of a CRISPR-based treatment for sickle-cell disease.**

By [Heidi Ledford](#)



Thankfully for us, and the greater good, Doudna's institute can now deliver this "s and effective" therapy in a targeted manner without those pesky adenoviral vector just like the mRNA genetic vaccines were so much better than the AstraZeneca do remember?

Can you see how this is panning out yet?

PERSPECTIVE | CELL BIOLOGY | 

## Targeted nonviral delivery of genome editors in vivo

Connor A. Tsuchida , Kevin M. Wasko, Jennifer R. Hamilton , and Jennifer A. Doudna   [Authors Info & Affiliation](#)

Edited by James A. Dahlman, Emory University, Atlanta, GA; received June 17, 2023; accepted December 15, 2023 by Editorial Board Member Christine E. Seidman

March 4, 2024 | 121 (11) e2307796121 | <https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.2307796121>

 10,317 | 1

### The whiff of WEF everywhere

One of the things that we have learned over the last 4 years of biofascism masquerading as "pandemic preparedness" is that everywhere you see an attempt impose medical treatments on others, you will find the **World Economic Forum** (WEF). And CRISPR is no different.

Here is Haoyi Wang for the WEF telling us how they are going to harness gene ed for multiple and permanent genetic changes.

## Harnessing Gene Editing for Multiple and Permanent Genetic Changes | Haoyi



And here is our friend Jennifer Doudna espousing the virtues of one of her compa (Mammoth Biosciences) on behalf of the World Economic Forum. I bet you couldr have seen that coming.

## Bringing CRISPR out of the lab and into everyday life at Mammoth Biosciences






Mammoth Biosciences is a World Economic Forum Global Innovator at the forefront of these developments. Here are five things Mammoth’s Co-Founder and CEO Trevor Martin thinks are important to know about how the field is evolving in the era of COVID-19.

Of course the World Economic Forum, who seem to be behind everything [bad] in world, are “committed to improving the state of the world”.

They are the self-styled arbiters of what *should* happen in the world, and thankfully all over the field of genome editing with their white paper here [17](#) and articles “[How gene editing is changing the world](#)” and “[5 things to know about CRISPR in the COVID era](#)” featuring the above video with Jennifer Doudna.

The screenshot shows the World Economic Forum website interface. On the left, a white paper titled "Genomic Data Policy Framework and Ethical Tensions" is displayed. On the right, two article cards are visible: "How gene editing is changing the world" under the category "EMERGING TECHNOLOGIES" dated Nov 25, 2015, and "5 things to know about CRISPR and gene editing in the COVID era" under the category "HEALTH AND HEALTHCARE" dated Aug 12, 2020. The website header includes the World Economic Forum logo, navigation links for "Join us" and "Sign in", and a search icon.

Remember too that this is the same World Economic Forum that told you that “You will own nothing and be happy” by 2030. Otherwise known as global fascism, because obviously someone WILL own all the stuff - it just won’t be you.



Which presumably means that you also won't be owning your genome.

Well why would you? You'll be happy with Klaus Schwab owning it, right? And Kl and his buddies, who are by implication suggesting that they should own everything will get to decide what genome editing will make the world (i.e. you) better.

The below section of the WEF's [video](#) "Technologists share visions of our future world" spells it out for you with buzzy catchphrases like "We have to figure out the new age", "Improving biology" and "Write a new code for life".

Super.

Here's the bit about gene editing, which by the way nonchalantly acknowledges that the COVID-19 vaccines were part of this technological enhancement of the global population.



The video goes on to talk about diminished reality glasses that will “allow you to remove things from your view, whether that’s garbage or other people”. Subliminal messaging that says “other people = garbage”.


I’m sure that these WEF players are really lovely people to meet at a [dinner party](#) - they certainly are the very last people you want in charge of this technology.

And if you think that’s bad, listen to this guy - Noah Harari, the World Economic Forum’s chief transhumanist - who takes it to another level in his [TED talk](#), telling that human rights do not exist, because you can’t touch them or feel them or see them. Because they are not a “not a biological reality” that means that human rights do not exist. Lovely.



Of course he goes on to tell us that God doesn't exist, nor countries, nor money. They are "all just stories". It is necessary for the WEF to get you to believe these things so that you give up your belief in God, free will, human rights, nation states and property. This is the ultimate utopia of the global fascist-socialist politburo - depicted in every dystopian novel - and they are dressing it up in *almost* reasonable language so you can accept it for it.

Don't. It's literally GATTACA and Brave New World and 1984 rolled into one and [18](#) are sitting back laughing at how much they have hoodwinked the population.



At this juncture it might be worth pointing out what I hope will be the main take-home of this two-part series:

The most important thing to have learnt from these two articles is that there is nothing that is fundamentally or legally different between the idea that can mandate a genetic vaccine on the population vs mandating a genetic editing technology on the population.

The law has already been established, if we allow *Kassam vs Hazzard* to remain unchallenged.

## Back to the law

Which takes us back to Dr Chris Rudge from [Part 1](#) of this series. Yes the very same Chris Rudge that produced the [article essential to underwriting Justice Beech-Jon justification for removing human rights](#) from the Australian population.

One of the world's experts in the history of the eugenicist Huxley family, is one of people that is now curating commonwealth law on genome editing. Cool.



Cambridge Prisms:  
Precision Medicine

Article contents

## Points to consider in the development of national human genome editing policy

Published online by Cambridge University Press: 27 March 2023

Dianne Nicol , Simon Niemeyer, Rebecca Paxton and Christopher Rudge

Hide author c

<b>Dianne Nicol*</b>	Affiliation: Centre for Law and Genetics, University of Tasmania, Hobart, TAS, Australia
<b>Simon Niemeyer</b>	Affiliation: Centre for Deliberative Democracy and Global Governance, University of Canberra, Canberra, ACT, Australia
<b>Rebecca Paxton</b>	Affiliation: Food Values Research Group, Faculty of Arts, University of Adelaide, Adelaide, SA, A
<b>Christopher Rudge</b>	Affiliation: Centre for Law and Genetics, University of Tasmania, Hobart, TAS, Australia Law Faculty, University of Sydney, Sydney, NSW, Australia

The paper ominously states:

*Based on our analysis, we argue that the development of national genome editing policy should focus on five particular themes. These are (1) embedding equity and other value principles in human genome editing policy; (2) ensuring that therapy, enhancement and other applications are appropriately regulated; (3) deciding what types of human genome editing research should be allowed and supported, recognising differing views on the status of the human embryo; (4) preparing for a future when heritable human genome editing may be shown to be safe and effective and (5) building meaningful public participation into governance of human genome editing.*

I'll reiterate this line


*(4) preparing for a future when heritable human genome editing may be shown to be safe and effective*

So now that heritable genome editing is going to be “safe and effective” (defined no doubt by the same people that said the gene therapy vaccines were safe and effective) there is nothing to stop the circle of justice involving the likes of Robert Beech-Jones now elevated to the high court, determining that:

*“Gene editing vaccines have been determined to be safe and effective by the appropriate authorities and therefore the rights of the individual to refuse such vaccines are not sufficient to override the demands of the public health order mandating these vaccines for all workers in Australia”*

Because, nobody stopped them in 2021. So why on earth, after the precedent was set by Justice Beech-Jones and ruled lawful on appeal, should anybody stop them making this amendment to the now-established law?

In case you really didn't see this coming here again is Justice Robert Beech-Jones making his "technology usurps your rights" speech shown in Part 1.



And who will challenge these judges, who believe that human rights do not exist v it comes to a manufactured situation that suits a particular agenda?

Nobody. Because they don't even need to answer the public.

This is the very same judge's response to public questioning in the Australian [CO' Royal Commission submissions](#) this week. Justice Beech-Jones declined to answer the court answered for him. And what was their response?



## HIGH COURT OF AUSTRALIA

Parkes Place  
CANBERRA ACT 2600

Chief Executive &  
Principal Registrar

Ms Sophie Dunstone  
Committee Secretary  
Senate Legal and Constitutional Affairs References Committee  
Parliament House  
Canberra ACT 2600  
By email [legcon.sen@aph.gov.au](mailto:legcon.sen@aph.gov.au)

Dear Ms Dunstone

### **Inquiry into a COVID-19 Royal Commission**

I write in answer to your letter dated 21 March 2024 to the Hon Justice Robert Beech-Jones offering him the opportunity to respond to a "comment" provided to the Committee as part of its inquiry. Justice Beech-Jones does not wish to provide any response.

I draw to the Committee's attention the fact that Justice Beech-Jones' judgment in *Kassam v Hazard* [2021] NSWSC 1320 was delivered on 15 October 2021. The academic paper "Covid-19 Vaccine Mandates: A Coercive but Justified Public Health Necessity" was published in July 2023 in Volume 26 of the University of New South Wales Law Journal along with a number of other articles. Justice Beech-Jones wrote the Foreword to that volume of the Law Journal.

Yours sincerely

Philippa Lynch  
25 March 2024

In case you can't spot it their response was to reference as a defence the [very paper](#) written by Wilson and Rudge defending the mandates which coerced the population to receive genetic therapy vaccines as a condition of availing themselves of the declared human rights in article 23.1 of the UN [declaration of human rights](#) which states

***Everyone has the right to work, to free choice of employment, to just and favourable conditions of work and to protection against unemployment.***

The very Chris Rudge who was reciprocally [endorsed by Justice Beech-Jones](#) on his elevation to the high court of Australia presumably as a consequence of his “excellent work in abrogating those very human rights of Australians (and by extension the Commonwealth) that the World Economic Forum say don’t exist.

So, if you think the judiciary will be protecting you against being forced to take a gene therapy product, whatever they call it, in the future - think again.

**The only way that this won’t happen is either that the principles of legalised coercion validated in *Kassam vs Hazzard* are overturned, or the people standing to make trillions of dollars from their patented products suddenly develop an ethical conscience.**

Which one do you think stands the best chance?

Welcome to Gilead GATTACA. Via Harvard.



/End

## 1 FDA: Human Gene therapy incorporation human genome editing Jan 2024



**Human Gene Therapy Incorporating Human Genome Editing Updated Jan 2024**  
458KB · PDF file

Download

Download

- 2 Protospace adjacent motif <https://sg.idtdna.com/pages/Support/FAQs/what-is-a-pam-sequence-and-where-is-it-located>
- 3 Novavax was marketed as a “protein vaccine” but is subject to the same plasmid contamination problem as Pfizer and Moderna gene therapy products - because the DN plasmid that makes the RNA that makes the protein product may not have been decontaminated effectively, and the regulators didn’t bother looking for it.
- 4 [Codon optimisation](#) is a process by which genome nerds recreate a protein (which is a sequence of amino acids) using a genetic (nucleotide) sequence that is different from the original genetic sequence that produced the protein, but creates the same sequence of amino acids. This is possible because there only 20 amino acids but 64 combinations of nucleotides that code for each amino acid, giving redundancy. For example Arginine is coded by either AGA or AGG; Glycine by GGA, GGT, GGC or GGG. So if you have a nucleotide sequence AGAGGG and change it to AGGGGT you will still get the same amino acids and therefore protein (in theory). There are however consequences of tinkering in this manner and the result may not always be what you expect.
- 5 A hat tip to [OpenVAET](#) for steel-manning this analysis with me. Hopefully we will get a chance to explore it further in another article.
- 6 You can look this up for yourself by performing a BLAST analysis of the Pfizer, Moderna Novavax sequence against the human genome. This is the result

[← Edit Search](#)   [Save Search](#)   [Search Summary](#) ▼   [? How to read this report?](#)

**i** Your search is limited to records that include: Home sapiens (taxid:9606)

Job Title	Nucleotide Sequence
RID	<a href="#">Y5NCMDD3016</a> Search expires on 03-03 14:30 pm <a href="#">Download All</a> ▼
Program	<a href="#">? Citation</a> ▼
Database	nt <a href="#">See details</a> ▼
Query ID	lcl Query_2374735
Description	None
Molecule type	dna
Query Length	3825
Other reports	<a href="#">?</a>

**Filter Results**

Percent Identity

to

**A** No significant similarity found. For reasons why, [click here](#)


7 Handbook of Bioethical Decisions - forward by Peter Singer.



**Fwd Handbook Bioethical Decisions Singer**  
196KB · PDF file

Download

Download



8 Court transcript and archive linked here

<https://t.me/arkmedic/9103>

9 “Richard Dawkins Defends 'Mild' Pedophilia, Again and Again”: [Archived](#)

10 This is why we keep archives. The original story here has been reduced to a single paragraph by BuzzFeed news.

<https://archive.is/Gz1xr>

- 11 Genetics pioneer George Church on support for human gene editing: As long as it's open to everyone—rich or poor: [Archived](#)
- 12 Named #NotesGate and immortalised in [Shez's substack here](#)
- 13 This twitter account was central to the [#CRISPRgate](#) scandal unveiled on twitter back in January.
- 14 If you want to know more about this I suggest following the [#GinoGate](#) hashtag on twitter and definitely follow [Jurassic Carl](#).
- 15 The official address of the Surgisphere company is Chicago, but the lead author on the [paper](#) was at Brigham and Women's
- 16 <https://www.nature.com/articles/nbt.3227> supplementary:



**Doudna Supp 41587 2015 Bfnbt3227 Moesm35 Esm**

455KB · PDF file

Download

Download

- 17 [WEF genomic data policy and ethics framework 2020](#)



**Wef Genomic Data Policy And Ethics Framework Pages 2020**

535KB · PDF file

Download

Download

- 18 The World Economic Forum, Council on Foreign Relations, Bilderberg group and their related entities that control the global money supply via “think tanks”.



## Recommend Arkmedic's blog to your readers

Striving for truth and transparency in science and medicine. Posts should be considered public interest disclosures in relevant jurisdictions. All comment sections are open so if you see a scientific or factual error please comment.

Recommend



499 Likes · 96 Restacks

### Discussion about this post

Comments Restacks



Write a comment...



Jac Mar 30, 2024

♥ Liked by Dr Ah Kahn Syed

👏 Brilliant summary we really are up Shit Creek without a paddle

♥ LIKE (57) 💬 REPLY

1 reply



M. Dowrick M. Dowrick Mar 30, 2024

♥ Liked by Dr Ah Kahn Syed

Well, a few things to say. Professor Martin Kuldorff fired from Harvard. Looks like they did him ; Epstein, the guy was everywhere, even at Harvard? Eugenics, those still living in pleasantville ha absolutely no idea they were hoodwinked. That will continue. Thank you for the enormous amc work you have provided gathering info in this substack. I will reread, forward and hold onto.

♥ LIKE (46) 💬 REPLY

1 reply

**215 more comments...**

---

© 2025 Dr Ah Kahn Syed · [Privacy](#) · [Terms](#) · [Collection notice](#)  
[Substack](#) is the home for great culture